

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,789	08/25/2003	Cheng-Yong Chen	MR1111-1176	1242
4586	7590 05/23/2005		EXAMINER	
	RG, KLEIN & LEE	HSIEH, SHIH YUNG		
	OTT CENTER DRIVE-S CITY, MD 21043	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837	
		DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	1	_
	,		
_			

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/646,789	CHEN, CHENG-YONG
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Shih-yung Hsieh	2837
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to all within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,		•
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	<u>//ay 2005</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1, 4 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applica	tion No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	• • •	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	red.
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Ti PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050517

Art Unit: 2837

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's Prior Art (Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 1, the prior art discloses an animated flute comprising an air bag
(B) formed in an animated shape and being secured to one of said flute (A) through an
air passage, said air passage having a curved shape (Fig. 3 shows a curved up shape),
and said air bag including at least one air outlet (B1).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art in view of Starnes (6,017,262).

Regarding claim 4, the prior art discloses the claimed invention except that said air bag and said air passage being in different directions.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,789 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

Starnes teaches an animated toy comprising an air bag passage (55) being in different directions (Fig. 5 shows air goes out at the air outlet 61 in different directions). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art's animated flute as taught by Starnes to include said air bag and said air passage being in different directions for the purpose of simulating an animal's tongue (col. 1, line 11).

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shih-yung Hsieh whose telephone number is 571-272-2065. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHIH-YUNG HSIEH
PRIMARY EXAMPLE